



SAUCER SMEAR

EDITOR AND STILL
SUPREME COMMANDER:
James W. Moseley, J.S.
CONTRIBUTING EDITORS:
Vince Ditchkus
Dr. Chris Roth
MAILING ADDRESS:
P.O. Box 1709
Key West, Fl. 33041

NON-SCHEDULED
NEWSLETTER
Volume 57, No. 1
January 10th, 2010
(Whole Number 427)

TELEPHONES:
305-294-1873
305-294-2270

Lonnie Zamora (1933 - 2009) was a New Mexico police officer who reported a close encounter of the first, second and third kinds on Friday, April 24, 1964, near Socorro, New Mexico. (See story on Page 2)

OFFICIAL PUBLICATION OF THE SAUCER & UNEXPLAINED CELESTIAL EVENTS RESEARCH SOCIETY

Hi, gang! Many thanks to all of you who have renewed your non-subscriptions. For those of you who have not yet done so, there is still Time!
Now, with the joyous Xmas Season behind us, let us move on!...

"THE MEN WHO STARE AT GOATS": This is the title of a very interesting new movie, based in part on the real-life activities of military & civilian people employed by the U.S. government in the 1970s and 1980s, who among other things were indulging in what is usually called "Remote Viewing". (Or, in a case like that of contactee/abductee David Huggins (who was not in this program), it might better be called "Remote Screwing" Joke.)

Hard facts are still scarce, and no doubt the authentic details are still Highly Classified, but it seems that during that period, our Leaders became convinced (some say falsely) that the dreaded Russians were conducting secret psychic experiments with some degree of success. Although few in our government took such nonsense seriously, they nevertheless feared that if we did not start similar programs, we might as a nation become victim to a Psychic Research Gap, which, though not as serious as a World Destruction Gap, nevertheless had to be faced & dealt with.

Thus funding was obtained, and a small group of people became adept at "Remote Viewing" - seeing a "target" anywhere, at any distance or any time, past, present, or future - and getting it right!

Your editor personally knows (slightly) a man named Ingo Swann, who by common consent is said to have been the most authentically successful at this dark art. Colonel John Alexander, Ret., whom we also know (slightly) was also involved. Our late friend and co-author Karl Pflock was

Holy Shit!

Time to send the Commander his annual
Free Will Love Offering!

IF YOU HAVE ALREADY DONE SO, IGNORE THE ABOVE.

admittedly in the CIA during that period, and spoke favorably of the successes that came out of the project.

Nevertheless, funding was eventually withdrawn, supposedly for "political reasons", but we suspect that the results of the project were disappointing. One might say (facetiously) that the results were 90% accurate - 10% of the time. You can't make policy from that!

Anyhow - this movie "The Men Who Stare at Goats" is a farce with a kernel of Truth. Only a few men (and maybe even women) know the exact percentage of Truth in this film.

Our favorite item therein is the "Death Touch". The movie mentions an example of this, in which the victim keeled over dead, "about eighteen years later". You see, Time is not a factor in the "Death Touch".

Your editor is not really into Conspiracy Theory (bad for one's mental health!), or utter nonsense (we stick to Serious Saucer Research, = SSR); but this motion picture is well worth a look-see. If you can't afford LSD, it's a worthy substitute!...

LONNIE ZAMORA DIES SUDDENLY AT AGE 76, VERY SHORTLY AFTER VERBAL ATTACKS BY TONY BRAGALIA:

We are amazed, and almost amused, by the current flare-up of debate regarding the classic 1964 daylight UFO landing near Socorro, New Mexico, which was witnessed by policeman Lonnie Zamora and (at a distance) several others. A couple of days later, Famed Air Force investigator Dr. J. Allen Hynek arrived on the scene, together with military personnel and civilian researcher Ray Stanford, who a few years afterwards wrote the only full-length book on the subject, strangely called "Socorro Saucer in a Pentagon Pantry".

The details of the Socorro case are long and complex, though mercifully not nearly as much so as those pertaining to Roswell. The new interest in this case seems to be entirely the work of an apparent newcomer to ufology named Anthony Bragalia, who insists that the landing was a hoax perpetrated by engineering students at the local New Mexico Technological Institute.

Dr. Hynek did not think so, nor did the Air Force, nor any other investigators at the time. We are going to resist the temptation to summarize a current 63-page Net debate on this topic (or other long-winded Net treatises received from Vince Ditchkus), because it is just too much for our format.

One example, however: Bragalia claims that the two humanoid creatures Zamora clearly but briefly saw near the landed craft were merely very short College students, because they looked like ordinary humans (= midgets?) Their exact height is not given, but the fact is that "little men" sightings were very common in the 1950s and 1960s. The strange-looking greys, with their paranormal abduction scenarios, etc., did not come along till later.

We obtained Bragalia's snail-mail address and phone number, and called him recently. He alluded to evidence he has that would destroy Zamora's credibility as a witness, but that was on October 27th, and he still has not revealed this information to the masses, though rumor has it that he claims Zamora was drunk on duty!

On November 2nd, Lonnie Zamora died of a sudden heart attack. He knew of Bragalia's bitter attacks on his character and competence as a witness, but he probably ignored all this. For many years he had only reluctantly talked about his classic sighting at all....

We close with an unrelated item that utterly destroys Bragalia's claim to be ufologically objective. Unfortunately, it involves Roswell once again. In an Oct. 14th "blog" Bragalia rants, "The enormity of suddenly being confronted with a sky-fallen craft and beings from another world near Roswell, N.M. in July of 1947 was no doubt spiritually shattering...Newly-acquired information indicates that the Roswell Base Chaplain at the time - Rev. Elijah H. Hankerson, may have provided needed support to those who were not prepared to deal with such a momentous event."

Two problems come immediately to mind: (1) Even Lt. Col. Kevin Randle does not mention alien bodies at Roswell any more, as the people propounding this nonsense (mainly Anderson, Kaufman, Ragsdale and Dennis) have absolutely been discredited in the course of the past several years. (2) At the risk of sounding racist, we must tell you that Hankerson was a black Baptist minister, who never mentioned the Roswell Incident in his lifetime, as far as we know. We have to add that, in the racist climate of that era (or even now!) we don't really believe such a man could possibly have provided "needed support" in regard to such an incredible event, had it ever actually occurred. Amen!...

"LONNIE AND THE BEAN": From ace researcher Matt Graeber comes this possible (but highly unlikely) solution to the Socorro, New Mexico landing.

A UFO-related book by an engineer named George Eastland tells of an incident "supposedly related to him by a biker called 'Tea-Mex', whom he met at a now long-vanished bar during a motorcycle rally in New Hampshire many years ago."

To make a long story short, "Tea-Mex" and technically-adept friends of his constructed and flew a craft in New Mexico which sounds almost exactly like the one Lonnie Zamora saw in 1964. No dates, names or appropriate details are given in the biker's account, but the device was called "The Bean" because it was egg-shaped and looked somewhat like a lima bean mounted on four toothpicks (= legs).

The strange-looking craft was piloted by two men dressed in jump suits and motorcycle helmets. Zamora never mentioned seeing any helmets on the two little men he saw near the landed craft. And frankly, we think bikers would look even less like little space creatures than would college students - which of course is Bragalia's theory!

"The Bean", which admittedly was an awkward contraption, crashed elsewhere later - date and location not mentioned. The two pilots were not hurt in the accident. No one involved in the project ever confessed to the caper, because all of the mechanical parts had been stolen from legitimate government projects.

Our opinion is that this biker had read about the Socorro landing and made up a yarn to fit it - that is, if this conversation with "Tea-Mex" ever took place at all. But if you choose to believe him, we have a bridge we want to sell you!

Further opinion: True Believers can be severely gullible, but True Debunkers put an even greater strain on our credulity. Pity!...

THE MEN IN BLACK ARE BACK (?): The venerable MUFON Journal has a new editor and a somewhat-new format, and we think (and hope) that the sighting reports will get a bit more exciting from now on.

The lead story in the Oct.-Nov. 2009 issue involves a very close sighting last Sept. 11th, in Leasburg, N.C., of a large UFO and several much smaller ones, extremely close to the home of the "primary witness", who is not named. His wife and son were also present apparently, but their testimony, if any, is not mentioned. Later physical examination of the site by MUFON investigators did not reveal any back-up physical evidence or additional witnesses. Nevertheless, this is a very detailed account which is certainly unexplainable, if true.

Two days later the "primary witness" was awakened at 7 a.m. on a Sunday morning by the unannounced arrival of two normal-looking men at his door. One, who called himself "Mr. Michael", did all the talking. As you might suspect by now, they wanted to discuss the UFO sighting, and proceeded to question him for about 30 minutes. The witness later told MUFON that he felt "demeaned and threatened by their visit".

This home owner never asked these strange men to identify themselves, nor did they volunteer to do so. This is the part of the story that we find utterly unbelievable. The witness did not ask these strangers for ID because he "assumed they were from MUFON", even though no such appointment had yet been scheduled. This simply does not make sense to us!

The story reminds us of the good old days, when "men in black" encounters were much more common than they are now. The details are murky, but back in the 1960s and thereabouts, some MIB incidents turned out to be caused by representatives of the pro-UFO civilian group called NICAP. This organization had an official home address in a prestigious part of Washington, D.C., and their agents sometimes warned UFO witnesses not to talk to anyone else about their sightings (as MUFON wanted the exclusive, if they could get it!) The witnesses couldn't be blamed for believing that they had been "silenced" by authentic government officials!

There were other incidents that probably had mundane solutions, and still others that probably did not. Your "Smear" editor was involved in at least two such MIB-ish scenes, which are complex and are not ready to be revealed at this precise time. When we think "men in black", we think of the late John Keel, and also our late friend and sometimes co-conspirator Gray Barker of Clarksburg, West Virginia...

Avoid strife after death

**Schools alert on
drink and drugs**

(4)

Another interesting MUFON story, from a Nov. 16th, 2009 Web posting called "UFO Media Matters." The title of the item is "MUFON vs. The Aliens - Who Won?"

It begins: "A few years ago MUFON tried to catch ETs abducting humans. They selected a group of documented alien abductees and began putting specially designed sensing units in each abductee's home. MUFON had the abductees fill out a form each day as to their recollection of the night before... You collate the data from the 'tamper proof' sensors with the days the abductee felt something unusual happened." The aim was to obtain powerful evidence that something was going on that could not be the result of "night terrors", etc.

MUFON allegedly kept trying for years to get "The Abduction Monitoring Project" up and running, but there were many problems, including funding. To project a better public image, they changed the name to "Ambient Monitoring Project". It is not at all clear how much data was actually collected, or over how long a period of time. But somehow, MUFON could never afford an independent expert to analyze the data, and apparently all this possibly sensational information still just sits there, waiting for something to be done with it, and for a scientifically valid conclusion to be drawn!

Your editor has a strong psychic feeling that the real problem is that no conclusive evidence for the abduction phenomenon was ever found, and MUFON just doesn't want to admit that the project was a failure.

We believe there is some sort of reality (4-D, not 3-D) to UFO abductions, but apparently it can't be solved so easily.

What say you, James Carrion of MUFON? Inquiring minds would like some answers!...

Book Review:

The latest ufological gem is from Career Press, entitled "Contactees: A History of Alien - Human Interaction", written by respected British ufologist Nick Redfern, an up-and-coming force in the UFO field.

Here we find all the once well-known contactees of the 50s and 60s, plus a few whom we have not previously heard of. Let us say, for what it is worth, that your editor read this whole book almost word for word - something we rarely do. Of course, one of the cited "authorities" is your editor himself, so that may have something to do with it. Indeed, we met & mingled with many of these contactees years ago in our misspent youth.

Here we have, among others, these "classic" contactees: Dan Fry, George Adamski, Truman Betherum, Orfeo Angelucci, George Van Tassel, Dana Howard, George King, Antonio Villas Boas, Howard Menger, Wayne Aho, Reinhold Schmidt, Otis T. Carr, and Frank Stranges. Also Robert Short, who is probably the only one of them still alive and functioning. Betty & Barney Hill are not mentioned, for some reason, though perhaps too much has been written about them already.

Also, moving into the 1970s and beyond is Claude Vorilhon, founder of the sexy Raelian cult in France and French Canada; Marshall Applewhite, founder of the disastrous Heaven's Gate cult (1997); and the one-armed Swiss contactee Billy Meier. Meier's semi-authentic UFO photos are not mentioned.

Incidentally, the original contactee movement is not completely dead. Famed New Age publisher Timothy Green Beckley has just come out with a reprint of Dana Howard's 1959 tome "Up Rainbow Hill". We were amused by Beckley's (frequently used) notice therein that he is merely hopeful the material is in the "public domain" but is not really sure.

The last few chapters of Redfern's book contain very interesting speculation about England's crop circles; North Carolina's Brown Mountain lights; "orbs"; "altered states of mind" from hallucinatory drugs & other stimulants; government "mind control" experiments, etc. This material could be better organized, but what we really like is that many theories are thrown forward but without dogmatic adherence to any particular one. The ET hypothesis is made to sound unlikely, and we strongly agree that it is unlikely. The solution to the Mystery is far more complex than that.

An intriguing clue to the solution might be: An entity told contactee/policeman Herbert Schirmer (1967) "We want you to believe in us, but not too much."

Ufological predicting is indeed a dark & highly unsuccessful art, but nevertheless we will briefly mention two recent examples.

Filmmaker R.J. Thomas, who has produced parodies of UFO documentaries, recently (Oct. 13th, 2009) received a call in which a deep, mysterious voice slowly stated: "We

(5)

are not alone. On Nov. 17th President Obama will acknowledge about the aliens". (Strange wording!) Sadly, this did not happen.

Lt. Col. Kevin Randle mentions a Net rumor that "full disclosure about UFOs is at hand. On Nov. 27th, 2009, President Barack Obama will tell the world all that the United States knows about UFOs. Many believe that this means he will tell the world that alien spacecraft have been visiting Earth for decades, if not centuries or longer".

Lt. Col. Randle then correctly predicted that the prediction would not come true. Sadly, he was correct. He has been and continues to be dead wrong about Roswell, but he was right about this.

Someone named Nick Philips, obviously not a friend of Stephen Bassett and/or the Disclosure Project, raves on the Net about this Nov. 27th prediction. He states that the Project peaked in 2001 and has been going downhill ever since. He then says, "...This is one of the most stupid predictions ever made. For these Disclosure loudmouths to believe they are making any real progress at all just shows how disconnected they are from reality...."

Our friend the hard-core Disclosure fiend Larry Bryant of Alexandria, Va. has found a new Cause to champion:

Enraged by crop circles, which (especially in England) have done great monetary damage to farmers' crops, Bryant offers a one thousand dollar reward to the first person sending him info leading to the apprehension and prosecution of the culprits involved.

It is the wording of Bryant's offer that amuses us: "In such cases of legal liability, it matters little whether the perpetrators are human or non-human. They simply should not escape the consequences of their criminal trespass and vandalism."

Are we to prosecute friendly aliens who probably just don't understand they are doing unwanted damage? Would George Adamski approve of this attitude?? We think not. We say - let the aliens continue to enlighten us with their beautiful complex designs. The Truth is out there - somewhere!...

Encouraged by a (somewhat) vast flow of encouraging letters, we now give you a brief version of our own second-best UFO sighting. (We have already recently printed the best one.)

This occurred in Miami, Florida about 1954, long before we moved to Key West. In those days atmospheric pollution was not nearly as bad as it is now, and on a cloudless evening you could see what appeared to be thousands of stars.

One night, for no particular reason, we decided to spend a couple of hours standing outdoors, sky-watching. We quickly noticed that the stars appear to be in small groups (4, 5, or 6, etc.) of approximately equal brightness. Then it occurred to us to concentrate on one particular group, thinking (out of boredom) that any "star" in that group could be a UFO "in disguise", in which case we might be looking right at a real UFO and never realize it.

Instantly, just as soon as that rather strange thought crossed our mind, one of the "stars" in that group took off to the left in a straight, slightly downward path, and disappeared behind a nearby building in just a few seconds. We looked back at the sky, and there was indeed one "star" missing from the particular group we had been watching!

The most likely natural explanation would be a meteorite; but there were no other meteorites that evening, and it would indeed be strange that one would appear to originate in that exact spot in the sky at that exact moment.

The alternative, other than auto-suggestion of some sort, is that something out there was deliberately sending a signal to us. Nothing like that ever happened again, and probably never will.

Of course, there is no proof whatever that we're not making the whole thing up. But we're not....

Dr. Leo Sprinkle of Wyoming is quite likely the most respected abduction researcher in America. The aliens he conjures up through hypnosis are noticeably gentler & kinder than those dredged up by others. In short, Dr. Sprinkle is a gentleman and a scholar with a good sense of humor, and he is a sincere friend to "Saucer Smear".

Recently he mailed us a wonderful bumper sticker, which we immediately attached to our modest vehicle. It reads: "BUCKLE UP. It makes it harder for the aliens to suck you out of your car."

This is indeed food for thought!...

MISSIVES FROM THE MASSES;

JAMES ("The Amusing") RANDI of anti-paranormal fame, writes as follows:

"...I've recovered from the operation, and handling the chemotherapy very well.

"I assure you that your speculations on the relationship between Dennis and I are quite wrong. Dennis was a kid looking for a goal, which I tried to provide to him. He ended up planting pot in my New Jersey garden, and I disowned him at that point. I could not afford to jeopardize my WOR (radio) job.

"Excuse the brief note. I'm trying to organize material for my next book, 'A Magician in the Laboratory'."

Editor's Note: It seems that Randi has reached a point in life where he is more concerned about his immortality than his (alleged) immorality. The second paragraph above refers to a then 15-year-old boy named Dennis Pryor who accompanied Randi and your editor on a week's trip to Equador in 1965. After that, Dennis lived in Randi's home in Rumson, New Jersey for at least several weeks. We had not known till now (if indeed it is really true) that Dennis was kicked out of Randi's house for growing "weed" in the yard. Shameful indeed! - We continue to wish Randi well regarding his illness.

Hard-core non-Believer DR. GARY POSNER of the Tampa Bay (Florida) Skeptics writes:

"Re: Robert Sheaffer's letter in the last 'Smear', as you know we have not been arguing over which one of us was closer to Phil Klass. Rather, I have simply been trying to rebut your assertion a few issues back that I 'didn't really know Phil'. My last attempt was stripped of an entire paragraph listing many CSICOP conferences at which Phil & I spent quite a bit of time together, as well as the times I had to decline invitations to ski and sail with him because I was practicing medicine and couldn't get away - though we did once schedule overlapping ski trips to Vail, and spent some time together on the intermediate slopes before he returned to the Expert trails.

"Robert Sheaffer did indeed know Phil much better than I did; but although Phil was '01' and Robert '02' in the daisy chain to receive Phil's mailings (before e-mail existed), after befriending Phil he made me 'X-1' - the first person in his new, parallel daisy chain - rather than placing me at the end of the original chain.

"I hope that puts this matter to rest. Now, as to which one of us was closer to Robert Sheaffer."

Yes, and which one of us is/was closer to The Amusing Randi! - Editor.

TIM PRINTY of the skeptical newsletter called "SUNlite", writes:

"...By the way, I recently went to a UFO festival in Exeter, New Hampshire. It was my first. I wasn't impressed, though. I am not sure if I really want to see another. It was pretty dumb, and watching a bunch of people strolling around with MUFON shirts from various conferences/expos/festivals did not impress me much. It seemed to me like it was all about making a buck. Then there was the standard person running around in a grey alien suit. Talk about your stereotypical behavior! I guess that is not a surprise..."

We love this letter from MILLER JOHNSON (MJ-13) from Albuquerque, N.M., because it is brief and to the point:

"Dear S.C. Moseley:

"Thanks for the three bits of UFO BS:

"(1) No need to waste MUFON \$s for a trip to Indonesia. That sighting is a hoax.

"(2) Regarding Socorro: Lonnie Zamora can ignore any new requests to speak at MUFON meetings! (See enclosure.)

"(3) Balloon Boy Hoaxer Heene has hoaxed himself a jail term instead of a TV show!"

Study shows bats don't like light


Western Daily Press, 19 June 2009.

"UNHOLY" FILLINGS ↙

Al Shabaab militants in the town of Marka, southern Somalia, have been rounding up anyone with gold or silver teeth and taking them to a masked man who then rips them out. The group believes metal teeth are used for fashion and beauty, which is against their strict interpretation of Islam. *Metro*, 11 Aug 2009.

I SEE DREAD PEOPLE

A French motorist, identified only as an artist named Pierre, 35, was given a three-month suspended sentence in Montpellier for trying to run over a pedestrian he believed to be Osama bin Laden. He skipped a red light and drove into a pedestrian zone in pursuit. His target escaped harm when the car crashed into some steps. *Mail on Sunday*, 21 Mar; *Times*, 29 Mar 2004.



In with the New! Proposed NEW UFO Museum. Photo Courtesy of Roswell UFO Museum Website

GARY HEMPHILL, aka "The Big Cashew", writes:

"For the past month, Vol. 56, No. 9 of 'Smear' sat on my desk, waiting to be filed. For the past month, I have repeatedly looked at David Huggins' 'Love in an Alien Purgatory'. Whatever happened to the hot babes that Adamski, Angelucci, Betherum, Meier and Menger met and wrote about? How come the alien babes that gave meaning to the term 'jump suit' all disappeared, and in their place we get these blocky babes with shoulders like Arnold Schwarzenegger and hair cuts all like Betty Page? Not one stunning blonde can be found in the entire line-up! This nude pediatrics concept just does not do it!..

"How I long to go back to the days when alien babes wore flimsy clothes and a guy truly wanted to make contact, if you know what I mean. If it wasn't for those beautiful interplanetary vixens of the 50s and 60s, many of us elder believers would never have stayed with the Religion!..."

PATRICK HUYGHE of Anomalist Books writes as follows:

"...I disagree with what you said about the title of the David Huggins book. 'Love in an Alien Purgatory' was Farah's title for the book. I loved the title and thought her introduction fully justified the use of the word 'purgatory'. And contrary to your strict 'Catholic' definition, the generic use of the term, which we qualified with the 'alien' adjective, denotes an in-between state: it's not hell, it's not heaven. And if you listen to David's reaction to his own experiences, that describes his situation perfectly. Most people would either be ecstatic over all that wonderful alien sex or they would feel used and abused. David's reaction is decidedly in-between- it's very much a purgatory. Furthermore, purgatory is a state that is supposedly preparation for something greater, which is definitely a subtext to his whole experience. Anyway, I'm glad the title has drawn so much attention. I consider that a success!"

Long-time aviation writer & mild UFO skeptic **CURTIS PEEBLES** writes:

"And so another year draws to a close. The hot weather has ended, the days grow shorter, and thoughts turn to the New Year and renewing the 'Saucer Smear' non-subscription. As always, find enclosed a check for the only free UFO publication worth paying for!"

Non-subscriber **CHUCK CREAGER** writes:

"...I very much appreciate your efforts at producing your magazine, and hope you are able to continue for many years.

"I had an opportunity to meet and hear James Randi speak years ago, and felt he was very thought-provoking at the time. But apparently this was before his anti-UFO, anti-psychic views had hardened, and I can only think less of him now. I am sorry to hear of his illness..."

Researcher **NORMAN HOWARD** writes:

"Unlike you, I am sick to death of Roswell. In all of the material I've read, I have never come across a discussion of the scientific principle of consistency. True Be-

lievers would have us accept the idea that everything on the crashed ship was composed of exactly the same components (the outer craft, the inner craft, the seats, the power plant, the bathroom plumbing fixtures, the kitchen, the floors, the lighting, etc.) Yet, only tin foil-like material and balsa wood were found - no variations. Try constructing a spaceship of tin foil and balsa wood and see how far you can get it to go. There are many other cases that deserve further investigation and attention, some of them very promising. Roswell should be laid to rest!...

"You seem to be in good health, and 'Smear' is better now than ever (and more interesting and even better-written). Keep on keeping on until I have time to take over!"

We agree about Roswell, but it is a disease, and we just can't seem to get over it! Our thanks, too, for the compliments. - Editor.

Noted researcher ANTHONY BRAGALIA writes:

"Hi, Jim:

"Just a note of thanks for the time that you extended to me recently on the phone. I was happy to detail some of my latest findings on Roswell, Socorro, and related subjects.

"Though we may disagree, I do not find you disagreeable!..."

We really appreciate Bragalia's apparent acceptance of our rather harsh criticisms. - Ed.

RICHARD SUTTNER writes:

"Enclosed is my subscription/donation. And, just a friendly criticism: You have the annoying habit of always referring to yourself in the plural, 'we'. There is just you alone who mainly writes 'Smear'..."

We use the well-known literary device known as the 'editorial we', which we admit is sometimes awkward, but nevertheless fun. - Editor.

BRUCE FORRESTER of Zephyr Technology writes as follows:


"Enclosed is a copy of the speech I gave to Wisdom Quest prior to our outdoor Flying Saucer Skywatch last August, at Greiser Hollow down in the boondocks of Southern Ohio (Hillbilly Country).

"Too bad MUFON of Ohio is pretty stuck on trying to be 'Scientific'. Under Bill Jones, their idea of an investigation is to double-check again and again all airplane take-offs and landings at Rickenbacker Airport in Columbus. Should any airplane be flying that day, presto! Case Solved.

"Result? After twenty years of being boss of Ohio MUFON, Bill Jones only knows much about Rickenbacker schedules, and little about flying saucers. Further result: Ohio MUFON languishes for being a sink hole of boredom and inactivity. Good thing some of us have 'Saucer Smear' to keep us on the edge of our seats and enthralled by possibilities of changes a'coming."

Bruce prefers emotional UFO "experiences" to the stodgy old scientific method! - Editor.

PEARLS BEFORE SWINE



The Roswell crash is here to stay
It will never Die
It was meant to be that way
Though I don't know why.
I don't care what the people say
The Roswell crash
is here to stay.
(Apologies to Darryl & the Juniors)